From: website@ventnortc.org
To: townclerk@ventnortc.org
Subject: Website Message
Date: 07 May 2018 13:12:10

ID: 1000354
DATE: 14:12:09 2018-05-07
NAME: [redacted]

MESSAGE: I wonder how much money Ventnor Town Council has wasted on producing the "Destination Ventnor" leaflet and map for pedestrianising the High Street?! It is hugely incorrect - traffic from the east (even as nearby as Ventnor's own East Street!) will have no direct route to drive into town - and Dudley Road (unreachable anyway in your plan) is not a viable solution for westbound traffic even if they could reach it, being a narrow residential road with no possible access to Albert Street for large-size traffic such as lorries, coaches, etc. The thought of making Albert Street two-way ignores the fact there are two doctors' surgeries and the Pharmacy in Albert Street, so parking for patients (disabled or not) is essential in Albert Street. Do we assume there will still be disabled parking in Pier Street (albeit facing in the other direction from the present set-up)? - Though to get to Pier Street I'd have to drive westward from the east end of town, along St Boniface Road and uphill past the Bowling Green to ZigZag Road (a complicated set-up) in order to approach Ventnor town from the west. Finally, where do all the incoming cars park? The present main car park is full more often than not. There will be no visitors (or locals) from the east end of town if this plan goes ahead. The cost doesn't bear thinking about . . . likewise the cost of the poorly thought-out leaflet.
And I'm not sure if this has been delivered to everyone, e.g. houses in Spring Hill (incorrectly marked on your map as Steep Hill) so these residents may be unaware that their roadside parking rights may be under threat). Where else would they park, I wonder?! Mr. Lee Morris, in his letter published in the County Press, is absolutely right in his censure of your plans. Please ditch them now before any more time and money are wasted.